

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,997	01/04/2001	J. Michael Salbaum	P-NI 4552	4685
23601	7590 08/28/2	02		
	L & FLORES LLI	EXAMINER		
	LA VILLAGE DR	HELMS, LARRY RONALD		
7TH FLOOR	04 00100		HELMS, LARRI R	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	~
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/754,997	SALBAUM, J. MICHAEL
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Larry R. Helms	1642
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CRUSE the application to become ARANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	ance except for formal matters in	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-20}$ is/are pending in the application		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		miner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🔲 T	•	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		trou by the Examiner.
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
	All b) Some * c) None of:		, (=, =, (-,,
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment(priority under 35 0.5.0. 99 120	anu/01 121.
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal B	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim1-4, drawn to a Nope polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 5-7, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - III. Claim 8, drawn to a method of detection with an antibody, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - IV. Claims 9-15, and 20 drawn to nucleic acids, vectors and host cells and kits comprising oligonucleotides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - V. Claims 16-19 drawn to a method of detecting nope nucleic acids by hybridization, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I, II, and IV represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. The polynucleic acid of Group IV, the protein product of Group I, and the antibody of Group II are all structurally and chemically different from each other. The polynucleotide is made by nucleic acid synthesis, while the polypeptide is made by translation of mRNA, and the antibody is raised by immunization. Furthermore, the polynucleotide can be used for hybridization screening, the polypeptide can be used for methods of treatment.

Art Unit: 1642

and the antibody can be used to immunopurify the polypeptide, for example. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus the inventions I, II, and IV are patentably distinct.

The methods of Inventions III and V differ in the method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used. Invention III recites a method of detection with an antibody while Invention V recited a method of detection with an oligo. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. PATENT shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus Inventions III and V are separate and distinct in having different method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used and are patentably distinct.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group II can be used in a materially different method such as to immunopurify the antigen in addition to the materially different method of Group III.

Inventions IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 1642

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group V can be practiced with a different oligo that that of Group IV.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If Group I is elected an election between the following Species is required:

Species A: SEQ ID NO:2

Species B: SEQ ID NO:4

Species C: SEQ ID NO:8

Species D: SEQ ID NO:10

Species E: SEQ ID NO:12

Species F: SEQ ID NO:14

Species G: SEQ ID NO:16

Species H: SEQ ID NO:18

Species I: SEQ ID NO:20

Species J: SEQ ID NO:22

Art Unit: 1642

Species K: SEQ ID NO:24

The species are distinct because each is a distinct sequence and art on one would not necessarily be art on another

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims for Group I.

If Group IV is elected than an election of species is required between the following

Species L: DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2

Species M: SEQ ID NO:1 and oligo of 15-300 of SEQ ID NO:1 or anti-sense strand

Species N: SEQ ID NO:3

Species O: SEQ ID NO:5 and oligo of 15-300 of SEQ ID NO:5 or anti-sense strand

Species P: SEQ ID NO:7

Species Q: SEQ ID NO:9

Species R: SEQ ID NO:11

Species S: SEQ ID NO:13

Species T: SEQIDNO:15

Species U: SEQ ID NO:17

Species V: SEQ ID NO:19

Art Unit: 1642

Species W: SEQ ID NO:21

Species X: SEQ ID NO:23

The species are distinct because each is a distinct sequence and art on one sequence would not necessarily be art on the others. Currently no claims are generic in this group

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5879. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 308-4242.

Respectfully, Larry R. Helms Ph.D. 703-306-5879

Page 7